UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,791	09/08/2003	Kia Silverbrook	BAL52US	8951
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET BALMAIN, 2041			EXAMINER	
			MENBERU, BENIYAM	
AUSTRALIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/16/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pair@silverbrookresearch.com patentdept@silverbrookresearch.com uscorro@silverbrookresearch.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/656,791	SILVERBROOK, KIA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BENIYAM MENBERU	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u>	ne 2010					
	action is non-final.					
	<u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-11</u> is/are pending in the app	· _					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2625

Response to Arguments

- 1. The terminal disclaimer filed on June 7, 2010 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent No. 7483053 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.
- 2. <u>Claims 1-3 and 7-11 are now rejected based on nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting using a new ground of rejection of U.S. Patent No. 6879341 to Silverbrook.</u>

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to

be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, 2, and 7 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6879341 to Silverbrook in view of XP-002353310, "VLIW Processor Architecture Adapted to FPAs" to Petit et al further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4975969 to Tal further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5852502 to Beckett.

Regarding claim 1, Silverbrook '341 discloses an image sensing and printing digital camera device (see claim 1, lines 1-3 and lines 17-19; Silverbrook '341) comprising:

receiving a printed instruction card having printed thereon an array of dots representing a programming script (see claim 4, lines 1-4 wherein Silverbrook '341 discloses a card that has "a card carrying a two-dimensional optical code that defines the image processing instructions" in contrast to printed instruction card as claimed but it is obvious that the optical code is printed on the card since it is optically scanned; Silverbrook '341 discloses "two-dimensional optical code" in contrast to the array of dots as claimed but it is obvious that a two-dimensional optical code can define an array of dots; Silverbrook '341 discloses wherein the optical code defines a "image processing instructions" in contrast to a programming script as claimed but it is obvious that processing instructions can define a program script);

Art Unit: 2625

an area image sensor positioned on the housing for sensing a viewed image and for generating pixel data representing the viewed image (see claim 1, lines 2-3; Silverbrook '341 discloses a camera comprising a sensor in contrast to a housing for positioning an image sensor but it is obvious that since the image sensor is in the camera it must be in a housing; Silverbrook '341 discloses sensing an image and generating "signal carrying image data" in contrast to sensing viewed image and pixel data generation as claimed but it is obvious that the image data is image viewed through the camera and it is also obvious that "signal carrying image data" can define pixel data of a viewed image data in a digital camera;);

a linear image sensor for scanning the printed instruction card and converting the array of dots into a data signal (see claim 1, lines 4-5; claim 4, lines 1-4; Silverbrook '341 discloses a reader for optical code in contrast to a linear image sensor as claimed but it is obvious that a reader which reads optical data can be linear image type sensor; Silverbrook '341 discloses a "card carrying a two-dimensional optical code that defines the image processing instructions" in contrast to printed instruction card but it is obvious that the optical code is printed on the card since it is optically scanned; Silverbrook '341 discloses "two-dimensional optical code" in contrast to the array of dots as claimed but it is obvious that a two-dimensional optical code can define an array of dots; Silverbrook '341 discloses wherein the read instructions from the optical code is used to generate "signal carrying processing data" in contrast to converting the array of dots into data signal as claimed but it is obvious that generation of the "signal carrying processing data" from the instruction can define a conversion process);

Art Unit: 2625

a printing mechanism arranged on the housing (see claim 1, lines 17-19; Silverbrook '341 discloses a camera comprising a printer in contrast to a housing that arranges a printer but it is obvious that since the printer is in the camera it must be in a housing;); integrating a processor, an area image sensor interface connected to the processor, a linear image sensor interface, and a printhead interface connected to the processor (see claim 1, lines 6-14, 17-19; Silverbrook '341 discloses processing units connected to the "image sensor", "reader", and printer in contrast to the integration of the processor, area image sensor interface, linear image sensor interface, and printhead interface but it is obvious that since the processing units, "image sensor", "reader", and printer are in the camera they are integrated; Silverbrook '341 discloses of processing units connected to the "image sensor", "reader", and printer in contrast to an area image sensor interface, linear image sensor interface, and printhead interface as claimed but is obvious that these connection defines an interface to each of the respective units wherein the "image sensor" defines area image sensor, "reader" defines the linear image sensor and printer defines the printhead; Further Silverbrook '341 defines a "printer connected to the processing units" "for printing the processed image" in contrast to a printhead interface connected to the processor but it is obvious that a printer provides a printhead for printing image data), and receiving the pixel data and the data signal, to effect communication of the pixel data and the data signal thereto (see claim 1, lines 4-14; Silverbrook '341 discloses the reception of "image data" and "processing data" in contrast to the receiving/communication of pixel data and data signal as claimed but it is obvious that

the image data defines the pixel data in a digital camera and the "processing data" can be data signal and the receiving of data defines communication), wherein decodes the data signal into the programming script and executes the programming script represented by the array of dots on the pixel data (see claim 1, lines 6-14; claim 4, lines 1-4; Silverbrook '341 discloses "processing the image data in accordance with the image processing instructions" wherein the processing instructions are defined from the optical code in contrast to decoding of data signal and execution of programming script as claimed but it is obvious that the converting of optical code into processing instruction defines the decoding and that the instructions define programming scripts and also the processing of image based on the instructions define the execution of the programming script; Silverbrook '341 discloses "two-dimensional optical code" in contrast to the array of dots as claimed but it is obvious that a two-dimensional optical code can define an array of dots;).

However Silverbrook '341 does not disclose wherein a VLIW processor is integrated on a one chip microcontroller provided in the housing.

Petit et al '310 discloses wherein a VLIW processor is integrated on a one chip microcontroller provided in the housing (Figure 1, CMOS image sensor; Introduction second paragraph; Figure 1, shows one-chip microcontroller; see Abstract; Introduction first paragraph; Figure 1, shows VLIW processor integrated on the one-chip; Introduction sixth paragraph; The dashed lines in Figure 1 defines the housing for the one-chip device; Figure 1, shows interface between CMOS sensor and ADC (analog to digital converter); Introduction seventh paragraph).

Having the system of *Silverbrook '341* and then given the well-established teaching of *Petit et al '310*, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of *Silverbrook '341* as taught by *Petit et al '310*, since *Petit et al '310* stated in Abstract and first and fourth paragraph of the Introduction, such a modification would provide an efficient and productive processor using a VLIW type processor.

However Silverbrook '341 does not disclose a housing defining a slot for receiving card.

Tal '969 discloses disclose a housing defining a slot for receiving card (Figure 3, slot 87; interface for camera 60; and interface for card reader 70; column 7, lines 48-68).

Having the system of *Silverbrook '341* and then given the well-established teaching of *Tal '969*, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of *Silverbrook '341* as taught by *Tal '969*, since *Tal '969* stated in col. 7, lines 48-55; col. 8, lines 40-56, such a modification would provide a card reader slot for inputting a card having useful information stored in it.

However Silverbrook '341 does not disclose wherein the receiving of the pixel data and data signal is by an input buffer connected to both the area image sensor

interface and the linear image sensor interface and wherein the input buffer being further connected to the processor.

Beckett '502 discloses wherein the receiving of the pixel data and data signal (column 6, lines 38-55; the color and monochrome pixel defines the pixel data and data signal) is by an input buffer connected to both the area image sensor interface and the linear image sensor interface and wherein the input buffer being further connected to the processor (Figure 1 shows a frame buffer 38 (input buffer) which is connected to a color sensor 24 and a monochrome sensor 22; column 4, lines 10-16, 36-42; connecting lines 36 and 34 defines the interface for the color sensor 24 and monochrome sensor 22 respectively. Further buffer 38 is connected to a processor 44; column 5, lines 17-24; column 7, lines 17-22; column 8, lines 17-22; Further the processor 44 can be defined in terms of a CPU. column 5, lines 44-56; since the color sensor and monochrome sensor are two-dimensional arrays of pixel they both can be defined as an area sensor and a linear sensor. So either of the color sensor 24 or monochrome sensor 22 can be a area image sensor or linear image sensor.).

Having the system of *Silverbrook '341* and then given the well-established teaching of *Beckett '502*, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of *Silverbrook '341* as taught by *Beckett '502*, since *Beckett '502* stated in col. 4, lines 50-64; col. 7, lines 11-16, such a modification would provide a temporary holding place for image data before processing.

Regarding claim 2, Further Beckett '502 discloses a device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the area image sensor is one of a charge coupled device and an active pixel sensor (column 4, lines 10-15).

Page 9

Regarding claim 7, Further Silverbrook '341 discloses a device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the array of dots is a two-dimensional array (see claim 4; "two dimensional optical code") and wherein the linear image sensor is an optical reader (see Beckett '502: column 4, lines 8, 10-15; column 5, lines 45-48).

3. Claims 3, 8, and 10 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6879341 to Silverbrook in view of XP-002353310, "VLIW Processor Architecture Adapted to FPAs" to Petit et al further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4975969 to Tal further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5852502 to Beckett further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5875034 to Shintani et al.

Regarding claim 3, claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6879341 to Silverbrook in view of Petit et al '310 further in view of Tal '699 further in view of Beckett '502 does not disclose a device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the printing mechanism includes an ink distribution assembly that is mounted on the print head assembly to distribute ink to the print head chips.

Shintani et al '034 discloses a device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the printing mechanism includes an ink distribution assembly that is mounted on the print

Art Unit: 2625

head assembly to distribute ink to the print head chips (column 18, lines 1-9, head 410 is pressed onto ink ribbon).

Having the system of *Silverbrook '341 in view of Petit et al '310 further in view of Tal '969 further in view of Beckett '502* and then given the well-established teaching of *Shintani et al '034*, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of *Silverbrook '341 in view of Petit et al '310 further in view of Tal '969 further in view of Beckett '502* as taught by *Shintani et al '034*, since *Shintani et al '034* stated in col. 3, Lines 55-67; column 4, lines 1-2, such a modification would provide a camera with embedded printer for providing user specified mode of printing.

Regarding claim 8, Further Petit et al '310 discloses the device as claimed in Claim 7, wherein the one-chip microcontroller includes a program memory (Figure 1, the one-chip has **instruction (program)** and data memory), and the one-chip microcontroller is operable to write the program script to the program memory (page 129, fourth paragraph; instructions are stored in instruction memory) and Shintani et al '034 discloses further operable to run the program script from the program memory to define a software algorithm by which registers in the printhead interface are addressed to apply a desired effect to the pixel data (processor 100 is programmed to execute processing (column 13, lines 16-20); Figure 1, processor 100 interfaces the printing section 111; column 13, lines 1-15; "desired print system" on column 13, line 11; column 13, lines 16-20, 56-61; One desired effect is multi-image effect which can print multi-image. Column 19, lines 9-25; head unit contains registers 501, 502).

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 10, Further Petit et al '310 discloses the device as claimed in claim 8, wherein the VLIW processor receives pixel data from the image sensor, converts the pixel data into an internal format, and writes the converted pixel data to the DRAM memory interface (Introduction: seventh and eighth paragraph; ADC converts to digital format for writing into register; Figure 1 shows data memory control unit which interfaces with SLDRAM; page 131 paragraph before "SIMULATION RESULTS" section.).

4. Claims 9 and 11 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6879341 to Silverbrook in view of XP-002353310, "VLIW Processor Architecture Adapted to FPAs" to Petit et al further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4975969 to Tal further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5852502 to Beckett further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6094282 to Hoda et al.

Regarding claim 9, Petit et al '310 discloses a one-chip microcontroller and a VLIW processor (Figure 1, shows one-chip microcontroller; see Abstract; Introduction first paragraph; Figure 1, shows VLIW processor integrated on the one-chip; Introduction sixth paragraph). However claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6879341 to Silverbrook in view of Petit et al '310 further in view of Tal '699 further in view of Beckett '502 does not disclose the device as claimed in claim 1, further including an output

buffer, the output buffer effecting communication between the processor and the printhead interface.

Hoda et al '282 discloses an output buffer, the output buffer effecting communication between the processor and the printhead interface (Figure 5 shows processor 415 in communication with memory 418 (output buffer) which is connected to printhead unit 419; column 8, lines 24-42, 61-67).

Having the system of *Silverbrook '341 in view of Petit et al '310 further in view of Tal '969 further in view of Beckett '502* and then given the well-established teaching of *Hoda et al '282*, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of *Silverbrook '341 in view of Petit et al '310 further in view of Tal '969 further in view of Beckett '502* as taught by *Hoda et al '282*, since *Hoda et al '282* stated in col. 8, lines 37-42, 61-67, such a modification would provide the buffering of a line of printing data for the printhead system.

Regarding claim 11, Petit et al '310 discloses the VLIW processor (Figure 1, shows VLIW processor integrated on the one-chip; Introduction sixth paragraph).

Further Hoda et al '282 discloses the device as claimed in claim 9, wherein the processor converts the pixel data to print image data, and writes the print image data to the output buffer (column 8, lines 20-34, 37-42; processor converts image data to print data using data from Table 417. the converted data is output to memory 418 (output buffer).).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BENIYAM MENBERU whose telephone number is (571) 272-7465. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached on (571) 272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the customer service office whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600. The group receptionist number for TC 2600 is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov/. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2625

Patent Examiner

Beniyam Menberu

/Beniyam Menberu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625

08/11/2010

/David K Moore/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625